

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,726 02/14/2002		02/14/2002	Torsten Zech	C 2397 COGG	1641
23657	7590	12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
	CORPOR	<del>-</del>	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
	DEPARTMI KSIDE AV		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AMBLER,	PA 1900	2	1743		

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/075,726	ZECH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  The timely filed  The timely filed  The mailing date of this communication.  The communication of the communication of the communication.				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2005.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  tion Papers	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,726

Art Unit: 1743

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6,8 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Srinivasan et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 9/14/04 Office action.

The 9/26/05 amendments introduce new limitations to the channels having "at least two different forms" where each channel is suitable for independent operation.

Srinivasan et al. teach in paragraph [0015] the test catalyst in each column is different which has been read on the claimed "at least two different forms".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 9/14/04 Office action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' remarks concerning the Provisional Obviousness Type Double patenting rejections were convincing. The Office agrees the copending application does not claim "at least tow different forms" of channels.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,726

Art Unit: 1743

Y

Applicants' state the new claim language directed to "at least two different forms" of channel is understood as described in paragraph [0006] of the specification as different geometric arrangements of the channels. The Office agrees this is sufficient to satisfy the 35 USC 112 issues. However, the Office reads 'at least two different forms" as pertaining to any differences in the channel, such as different types of catalyst loaded into the channels. The Office also notes the different catalyst in the columns also have different geometric arrangements within the columns.

Applicants' state Srinivasan et al. does not teach a microreactor. The only structure Applicants' have set forth by the claimed "microreaction channels" is a channel which is clearly taught by Srinivasan et al. (e.g. Applicants' have not claimed any "reactor" structure and the method of intended use of an apparatus is of no patentable moment).

Applicant's traverse the 35 USC 103 rejection over Srinivasan et al. because a prima facia case of obviousness has not been made. The Office has defended the position of record above and maintains the 35 USC 102 and 103 rejections of record are proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743